Introduction

The current standard treatment for resectable pancreatic head or periampullary cancer is surgical resection followed by adjuvant chemotherapy. However, only a small percentage of patients is able to undergo potential curative surgery. But even in resectable patients, the 5-year survival rate is 5-40%. For patients at advanced, surgical unresectable stages, median survival ranges from 6 to 12 months. Pancreatic resection is a technically demanding procedure. Postoperative morbidity after pancreaticoduodenectomy is currently less than 5% in experienced high-volume centers. However, postoperative morbidity rate remains high approaching 30-50% (1,2). The proper selection of pancreatic stump management or the decision to refer the high-risk patients to high-volume centers can be suggested by the elevated correspondence of pre- and intraoperative duct diameter with the related pancreatic fibrosis grade and gland consistency. Preoperative assessment of the pancreatic duct makes possible to predict the risk of pancreatic fistula.

SUMMARY: Surgical treatment of the pancreatic stump: preventive strategies of pancreatic fistula after pancreatoduodenectomy for cancer.

R. TERSIGNI1, M. CAPALDI1, P. IALONGO2, L.R. GRILLO3, A. ANSELMO4

Background. The institutions with high volume of pancreatic surgery report morbidity rate from 30% to 50% and mortality less than 5% after pancreatoduodenectomy (PD). At the present, the most significant cause of morbidity and mortality is pancreatic fistula (PF).

Aim. The purpose of the study is to identify the most important clinical factors which may predict PF development and eventually suggest alternative approaches to the pancreatic stump management.

Patients and methods. A retrospective analysis of a clinical database of a tertiary care hospital was performed. From 2002 to 2012, a single surgeon prospectively performed 150 pancreatoduodenectomies for cancer. Four different techniques were used: end-to-end pancreatojejunostomy, end-to-side pancreatojejunostomy, pancreatic duct exclusion, and duct to mucosa anastomosis. The intraoperative gland texture was classified as soft, firm, and hard. The duct size was preoperatively (CT scan) and intraoperatively recorded and classified: <3 mm small, 3-6 mm medium, >6 mm large. The histopathological characteristic of the gland fibrosis was graded as low 1, moderate 2, high 3.

Conclusion. Relationships between pre- and intraoperative duct size measurement, pancreatic texture, and pancreatic fibrosis grading were highly significant. Small duct and soft pancreas with low grade fibrosis are the most important risk factors for pancreatic fistula development.

Preoperative assessment of the pancreatic duct makes possible to predict the risk of pancreatic fistula.
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Patients and methods

All Patients admitted to "San Camillo-Forlanini" Hospital of Rome during the last decades were identified in the Department of surgery data base. From January 2002 to January 2012, 150 consecutive Patients underwent a standard (Whipple-Child) or pylorus preserving radical pancreaticoduodenectomy (Traverso - Longmire) for malignant pathologies of the pancreatic head or the peripancreatic region. Only Patients operated by the same Surgeon were recorded. Selection criteria for pancreaticoduodenectomy were as follows:

- periampullary or pancreatic cancer;
- preoperative imaging negative for disseminated disease;
- suitability for major operations.

Serum chemistries and disease specific molecular markers (CEA, CA 19-9, CA125) were obtained in the preoperative clinic. Imaging studies employed abdominal ultrasonography, total body computed tomography (CT), abdominal magnetic resonance (RMN) and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with brushing for cytology, and endoscopic transduodenal ultrasonography with pancreatic fine needle biopsy. An endoscopic stent or nosebiliary drainage were positioned whenever required. An intended curative resection was performed up to stage IV (Japan Society Classification of Pancreatic Cancer). The final assessment of resectability was made at operation considering: retroperitoneal extension of the tumors, liver metastases, involvement of superior mesenteric artery or superior mesenteric vein and portal vein. An intraoperative pathological examination was performed on the lymph nodes of the inferior vena cava and aorta, and on the lymph nodes of the 5th and 6th station according to the Japanese classification, in Patients undergoing pylorus preserving surgery. An intraoperative pathological examination of the common hepatic duct and the pancreatic stump was made to assess the radicality of the resection. Three drains were placed at the end of surgery: two were placed near the transected pancreas, while another drain was placed near the hepaticojejunostomy. All specimens were evaluated by the staff Pathologist. Histopathologic diagnosis, tumor size, tumor differentiation, lymph node status and negative or positive surgical margins were reported. A secondary review by a dedicated pancreatic Pathologist was carried out in 38 Patients submitted to duct to mucosa anastomosis, in order to determine the degree of tissue fibrosis (Grade 1,2 and 3) in the specimens of the pancreatic stump. The senior Pathologist evaluated only the microscopic slides and was blinded towards all histopathologic, operative and clinical data of the Patients.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using the R software with the gmodels library. A Chi-square test using the Monte-Carlo simulation was used to evaluate the factors’ dependency in the datasets. The Monte-Carlo simulation, that produce a reference distribution based on random generated samples of the same size as the tested sample, was used to handle the datasets with small size.

Statistical significance was set at p value < 0.05.

All deaths within 30 days of surgery were considered surgical mortality.

Patient demographics

One hundred and fifty Patients underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy in the period of study. There were 89 men and 61 women. The median age was 63 years (range 33-86). Forty-nine Patients were aged 70 or older.
Primary lesions

Primary lesions were pancreatic cancers (n = 110, 73.4%), ampullary cancers (n=19, 12.6%), bile duct cancers (n=14, 9.4%) and duodenal cancers (n=7, 4.6%).

Surgical resections

A total of 150 pancreaticoduodenectomies were performed. One hundred and three Patients (69.2%) underwent pylorus preserving procedure while forty seven Patients (30.8%) underwent conventional pancreaticoduodenectomy. Changes in pattern of practice of pancreatic stump management are shown in Table 1.

Surgical procedure

The standard radical procedure included:
- en-bloc cholecistectomy and section of hepatic duct with extemporaneous histological examination;
- section of the pancreas on the left margin of the mesenteric-portal vein axis and pancreatic stump frozen section examination;
- preservation of the pylorus and lymphatic frozen examination (Traverso-Longmire procedure) or gastric resection (Whipple-Child procedure);
- exposure of the ligament of Treitz, section of the first jejunal vessels and section of the first jejunal loop.

Eventually partial or total mesenteric portal vein and hepatic artery resection justifying R0 resection with dissection margin free from cancer cells, in borderline resectable disease is indicated when:
- encaement of a short segment of the hepatic artery responding to neoadjuvant therapy;
- venous infiltration less than 180° in the circumference, in the absence of thrombosis;
- venous involvement > 180° without thrombosis responding to neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

The approach to the borderline resectable disease is to use preoperative systemic chemotherapy followed by surgery and IORT to maximize the potential R0 resections. En-bloc radical lymphadenectomy with clearance of common and proper hepatic artery, celiac artery, hepatoduodenal ligament, posterior pancreaticoduodenal lymph nodes, lymph nodes on the right and anterior margin of the SMA from its origin to the inferior pancreaticoduodenal artery. Removal of preaortic and precaval lymph nodes from the celiac artery to the inferior mesenteric artery. All radical procedures do not include removal of Gerota fascia and circumferential skeletonization of the SMA, usually associated with diarrhea and higher morbidity without survival advantage. Pancreatic, biliary and duodenal or gastric anastomoses are performed in sequence on the same jejunal limb. Based on the current evidence suggesting that pancreatobiliary anastomosis and pancreaticogastrostomy are equivalent in term of postoperative outcome, pancreaticogastrostomy was never performed (10-12). End to side hepaticojejunostomy is performed 20 cm downstream of the pancreatic anastomosis with absorbable 4-0 sutures. End to side duodenal or gastric jejunal anastomosis is performed at 30 cm from the biliary anastomosis with 4-0 absorbable sutures.

Pancræaticojejunostomy (end-to-side anastomosis)

After a sharp cut vertical section of the neck, the pancreatic stump is exposed for 2-3 cm and two 3-0 stitches are passed through the marginal side of the gland within 1 cm of the section line. A catheter can be inserted in to the pancreatic duct to record the duct’s diameter and to overturn the stump.

Only suturing materials with the greatest tensile strength are used for the pancreatobiliary anastomosis: polydioxanone (PDS) or polypropylene (Prolene). In fact, a pancreatic fistula can occur more often when rapid absorbable threads are used and can develop once the sutures have been digested by pancreatic fluids. A catheter can be inserted in to the pancreatic duct to record the duct’s diameter and to overturn the stump.

Pancreaticojejunostomy (end-to-end anastomosis)

The pancreatic stump should be freed for several centimeters in preparation for telescoping the end of the jejenum over it. A posterior outer layer of interrupted 4-0 suture is placed sewing the posterior pancreatic parenchima to the jejunal seromuscular wall. The cut surfa-
Occlusion of the duct of Wirsung

After identification of the main pancreatic duct, a 4-0 purse string suture is made on the pancreatic duct which is cannulated with a proper catheter. The pancreatic stump is then closed with interrupted sutures to prevent secretion leaks from minor ducts. Two or three ml of the occluding substance are slowly injected and the catheter is gradually retracted. Purse string suture is then tied. Many chemical substances have been investigated in the past: Ethibloc® (Ethicon, Norderstedt, Germany), Neoprene glue (Du Pont de Nemours Italiana, Cologno Monzese, Italy), Fibrin glue (Tissucol® Baxter, Deerfield, IL, USA) (13-15). Lower morbidity was recorded with the application of mechanical stapler during the pancreatic transaction or with identification and direct ligation of main pancreatic duct (16, 17). We investigated the obliteration of the duct with Cianoacrilate (Glubran 2® GEM, Viareggio, Italia).

Duct-to mucosa pancreatic jejunostomy

When the duct of Wirsung is dilated more than 3 mm and the parenchyma is firm or hard, the duct to mucosa anastomosis is easy and safe. When dealing with a small duct, less than 3 mm, and with on soft tissue, the procedure is more complex, but, with the assistance of magnifying glasses, it is still possible for an experienced Surgeon. After a record of the duct diameter, an appropriate stent is placed inside the duct to allow a safer anastomosis. The stent can be abandoned. However, leaving the stent inside the pancreatic anastomosis does not have a protective effect in terms of pancreatic fistula risk and morbidity (18, 19). The posterior suture layer is performed as described for the end-to-side anastomosis. A small jejunal incision is made 0.5 cm from the outer posterior surface. In the case of a small duct (< 3 mm) five to six 5-0 or 6-0 stitches are passed from inside the pancreatic duct to the jejunal cut margin and tied. In the case of a bigger duct (> 3 mm) eight 5-0 stitches are used. The duct to mucosa pancreatic jejunostomy is completed by overcoming the anterior pancreatic margin and the jejunal limb. Unsewn small secondary ducts not included in the opening of the jejunal limb can determine a low risk, pure pancreatic leak, without clinical impact.

Main risk factors for pancreatic fistula

In 38 Patients submitted to duct to mucosa anastomosis (Group D) (Table 1), the diameter of the Wirsung duct was radiologically (CT scan) measured during the preoperative studies. In the same Patients duct diameter was carefully exposed and measured during the operation with insertion of a suitable gauge pancreatic catheter. Three groups of duct size were recorded: ≤ 3 mm small, 3-6 mm medium, > 6 mm large. The pancreas texture of all Patients was examined by the Surgeon and classified in three groups: soft, firm and hard. The histopathologic characteristic of gland fibrosis was graduated (grade 1 = low, grade 2 = moderate, grade 3 = high). Relationship between gland texture and pancreatic fibrosis was assessed. Variables, including radiological and intraoperative duct size measure, pancreatic fibrosis and pancreatic texture were correlated with the risk of developing pancreatic fistula.

Perioperative management and assessment

All Patients were administered preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis and antithrombotic prophylaxis. Intravenous hyperalimentation and protease inhibitors were routinely used in all Patients for 7 days. Blood tests were obtained on 1, 3, 5 and 7 days after resection. All Patients underwent US or CT scan examinations when necessary to assess the presence of abdominal fluid collections. Infectious complications were treated with selected antibiotics according to blood culture and antibiograms. Hepaticojunostomy leak was diagnosed when a drainage of > 50 ml of biliary fluid was recorded. The level of fluid amylase from all drains placed near the pancreatic and biliary anastomoses was determined every other day until the removal of the drains. Distinctive analysis between pancreatic enteric anastomotic leak and extravasation of non activated pancreatic juice from the side branch ducts of the pancreatic stump was performed.

Results

From January 2002 to January 2012, of the 150 Patients undergoing pancreatoduodenectomy for pancreatic and periampullary cancer, there were 89 men and 61 women (median age 63 years – range 33-86 years). All operations were performed by the same Surgeon who was experienced in pancreatic surgery. The mean time of operation was 360 ± 35.5 minutes. Intraoperative blood transfusion was requested during 65 procedures and the mean blood volume transfused was 655 ± 325 ml. In an attempt to obviate a pancreaticoenteric leakage, four different procedures were prospectively performed: group A, 32 end-to-end pancreaticojejunostomies (E-EPJ) (2002-2004); group B, 44 end-to-side pancreaticojejunostomies (E-SPJ) (2005-2007); group C, 33 pancreatic duct occlusion (PDO) (2008-2010); group D, 41 duct-to-mucosa anastomosis (DMA) (2011-2012) (Table 1). The
intensive care stay was 3.3 ± 1.5 days. Total hospital stay was 15.5 ± 6.2 days. In Table 2 are shown the postoperative outcomes. The overall morbidity was 26.6% (40 Pts). The most frequent abdominal complication was pancreatic fistula which was observed in 25 Patients (16.6%): 5/32 (15.6%) in Patients who underwent E-EPJ, group A; 5/44 (11.3%) in Patients submitted to E-SPJ, group B; 15/33 (45.4%) Patients with PDO, group C; 0/41 (0%) in Patients who underwent DMA, group D. In the total of 41 Patients who were reconstructed with DMA, none developed abdominal or cardiopulmonary complications. Meanwhile almost an half of Patients with duct occlusion experienced a pancreatic fistula. Considering the ISGPE Grading, the 25 pancreatic fistulae were classified as follow: 16 (64%) grade A (6 PJ, 10 PDO); 6 (24%) grade B (3 PJ, 3 PDO); 3 (12%) grade C (2 PJ, 1 PDO). The incidence of PF with clinically significant impact (grade B-C) was therefore present in 9/25 (36%) Patients, 5 after PJ and 4 after PDO. In the 41 Patients with DMA, the incidence of pancreatic fistula was 0, independently from pancreatic texture or duct size. Abdominal collections were recorded in 8 (5.3%) Patients and hemorrhage in 4 (2.6%) Patients. Overall postoperative mortality was 6 % (9/150). In the last 100 consecutive cases the mortality was 1%. Reoperations or radiological drainages were performed in 10 Patients for abdominal abscess, hemorrhage and pancreaticoenteric anastomosis’ dehiscence. The consistency of the remnant pancreatic stump was strongly correlated with subsequent postoperative fistula rate in groups A-B (76 Patients, 50.6%) submitted to PJ anastomosis. In the non pancreatic fistula Patients of groups A and B, 16 (19.7%) pancreas were classified as soft, 29 (39.4%) Patients as firm and 21 (27.6%) as hard. In the pancreatic fistula group, 9 (11.8%) pancreas were classified as soft and 1 (1.3%) as firm. The relationship of intraoperative gland texture with pancreatic fistula development

Table 2 - Postoperative course, complications and outcome in 150 pts. submitted to PD for cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main abdominal complications</th>
<th>A (32) E-EPJ</th>
<th>B (44) E-SPJ</th>
<th>C (33) PDO</th>
<th>D (41) DMA</th>
<th>Overall morbidity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic fistula</td>
<td>5 (15.6%)</td>
<td>5 (11.3%)</td>
<td>15 (45.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25 (16.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary fistula</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal collections</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute pancreatitis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel obstruction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-op mortality</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 (6 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Post-op mortality in the last 100 consecutive cases: 1%.

Table 3 - Relationship of intraoperative gland texture with the pancreatic fistula development in 76 pts. submitted to pancreaticojejunostomy (groups A-B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consistency of pancreas</th>
<th># cases</th>
<th>Pancreatic fistula n=10</th>
<th>No pancreatic fistula n=66</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soft</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9 (11.8%)</td>
<td>16 (19.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>29 (39.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21 (27.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p-value = 0.00016.

Fig. 1. The two factors compared (the pancreas consistency and the fistula presence or absence) are significantly correlated (p-value = 0.00016). The majority of cases were the fistula is reported corresponds to a soft pancreas consistency.
showed that firm and hard pancreatic consistency was significantly predictive of positive outcome. The pancreatic consistency and the fistula development were significantly correlated (p = 0.00016) (Table 3) (Fig. 1). The imaging preoperative assessment of the duct size of 38 Patients of group D revealed an elevated correspondence with the intraoperative measure. Applying the chi-square test and using the Montecarlo Simulation, the radiological and the intraoperative duct size were independent and not correlated (p = 0.76). However the two factors compared had almost the same frequency for all diameters reported (Table 4) (Fig. 2). An analysis was performed in the 38 Patients with duct to mucosa anastomoses, correlating two major predictive variables: perioperative duct diameter measure and pancreatic fibrosis grading. Grade 1, grade 2, grade 3. The pancreatic fibrosis grade was significantly associated to the duct size (p = 9.9e-07). Most of the pancreas with fibrosis grade 1 had < 3 mm duct size. Fibrosis grade 2 was associated to 3-6 mm duct size. The majority of pancreas with fibrosis grade 3 had a duct size > 6 mm (Table 6) (Fig. 4). The correspondence between the preoperative duct diameter assessment and the fibrosis grade can suggest, in advance, the best surgical procedure: conventional anastomosis, separate “Roux en Y” limbs, duct occlusion, pancreaticogastrostomy or Patients transfer to high volume Center. In fact, the risk of developing pancreatic fistulas is very low when the pancreatic texture is firm or hard, the fibrosis grading is 2 or 3, and the diameter of the pancreatic duct is > 3 mm. Pancreatic duct size < 3 mm, consistency of pancreas soft and pancreatic fibrosis grade 1 are predictors of postoperative pancreatic fistula.

**Table 4 - Comparison between radiological and intraoperative duct size measure in 38 pts having duct-to-mucosa pancreaticojunostomy (Group D).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Duct size</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 3 mm</td>
<td>3-6 mm</td>
<td>&gt; 6 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiological (CT scan)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two factors compared are independent or not correlated. p value = 0.76.

**Table 5 - Comparison between pancreatic fibrosis and gland texture in 38 pts having duct-to-mucosa pancreaticojunostomy (Group D).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Patients</th>
<th>Pancreatic fibrosis grade</th>
<th>Gland texture</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft</td>
<td>Firm</td>
<td>Hard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p-value= 9.9e-07.
**Discussion and conclusion**

Bassi et al. (5, 6) reported 26 definitions of pancreatic fistula published between 1991 and 2000. Each definition was based on daily pancreatic fluid output, amylase concentration and the postoperative time of fistula development. A special study group of expert pancreatic surgeons defined the pancreatic anastomotic fistula as persistent drainage of more than 30 or 50 ml/day of an amylase-rich fluid after postoperative day 3, with amylase content greater than 3 times the serum amylase activity. Three grades of fistula severity are classified according to the ISGPF clinical criteria (6):

- **GRADE A:** transient, asymptomatic fistula with elevated drain amylase levels without clinical relevance;
- **GRADE B:** symptomatic fistula that require diagnostic evaluation and therapeutic management;
- **GRADE C:** severe fistula requiring aggressive diagnostic management and therapeutic interventions.

Major pancreatic fistula is defined as the drainage of more than 200ml of fluid or the development of an intra-abdominal abscess. In duct-to-mucosa anastomosis a parenchymal pancreatic leakage can drain amylase fluids for a few days. These non-anastomotic leaks tend to resolve spontaneously or after weeks or months. Classical risk factors associated with pancreatic fistula after pancreatoduodenectomy include patients related risk factors such as age > 70 years, male sex, low creatinine clearance, jaundice and malnutrition, or pancreatic and disease related risk factors, such as pancreatic head or peripancreatic tumors, pancreatic fibrosis, pancreatic texture, duct size, or surgeons experience and volume Center for complex surgery (20-22). However it has been widely accepted that pancreatic fistula is mainly associated with pancreatic texture and duct size (23-26). In fact, pancreatic fistula rate is near 0% for patients with a hard pancreas and large duct and near 20% for those with a soft pancreas and small duct. There are 3 risk factors associated with soft pancreas:

1) most soft pancreas have a small duct. In this situation secure duct-to-mucosa anastomosis is quite difficult;
2) soft pancreas is easily injured by stitches directly or for tissue ischemia;
3) soft pancreas has a normal exocrine function with juice rich in proteolytic enzymes and the increase in amylase drains may be provided by realimentation.

The key to excellent outcomes after pancreatoduodenectomy is a reduction of active fistulas. To reduce the risk of pancreatic leakage, a selection of proper pancreaticojunostomy according to pancreatic texture and duct size is mandatory. Although substantial progresses in reducing the rate of pancreatic fistula have been made in the last years, preoperative improved understanding of the risk factors has been disappointing. Identifying glands at high risk for a leak can trigger modification in surgical technique, earlier removal of drains, thus avoiding infections and favoring faster realimentation and early patients discharge from the Hospital. Many studies showed different results when considering the type of pancreaticojunostomy, provided that all anastomoses are associated with a different incidence of pancreatic fistula. We report the experience with pancreatic fistula in a series of 150 consecutive pancreatoduodenectomies, prospectively performed by one surgeon with different reconstructive techniques. We also in-
investigated the most common technical procedures according to preoperative and perioperative risk factors reported in the literature. The number of pancreateoduodenectomies performed in a single Center every year is clearly correlated to the mortality risk. In Italy the mortality after PD is 12.4% in low volume Centers and 2.6% in high volume Centers (18). However there are many questions: how many procedures should be performed in order to define a high volume Center and how many Surgeons usually perform the operations in high volume Centers? Finally, until the country health authorities regulate the concentration of complex procedures into a few high volume Centers, all Surgeons are authorized to perform all complex procedures provided that they are following the guidelines on pancreatic surgery published by the most experienced Centers. Some Authors suggest the use of a stent inside the pancreatic anastomosis with or without an external pancreatic drainage. The stent should allow a safer anastomosis and would protect the anastomosis. However the internal stent has no protective effect in terms of pancreatic fistula risk, total morbidity or mortality as suggested by some Authors (19, 27). Generally no significant differences are recorded in terms of morbidity and mortality using the duct occlusion method with absorbable or non reabsorbable material, but the incidence of pancreatic fistula is up to 50% higher. Therefore the duct occlusion method is used to reduce mortality in low volume Hospitals in case of soft pancreas with a small size duct, although with a higher risk of postoperative diabetes. Duct occlusion procedure, pancreatic transection with stapler or simple suture ligation of the duct are sometimes indicated in the case of reoperation for hemorrhage or sepsis due to pancreatic leak. So far, insufficient evidence exists to show that duct occlusion procedure can replace pancreaticojejunostomy. Moreover, Patients with pancreatic duct occlusion develop pancreatic exocrine insufficiency with malabsorption (13-17). Pancreaticogastrostomy seems to carry several advantages in terms of reducing the incidence of pancreatic fistula, as reported by many cohort studies. In contrast, all RCT studies failed to show an advantage over the pancreaticojejunostomy technique in terms of perioperative outcome (28-30). Pancreaticojejunostomy is the most commonly used method of pancreaticoenteric anastomosis after pancreatectoduodenectomy. The anastomosis can be performed as an end-to-end anastomosis with invagination of the pancreatic stump or as an end-to-side anastomosis with or without duct-to-mucosa suturing. An internal or external stent can be placed in the pancreatic duct and the jejunal loop can be positioned in an antecolic, retrocolic or retromesenteric fashion. An isolate Roux loop pancreaticojejunal anastomosis and a separate hepaticojunal anastomosis are suggested to reduce the incidence and the severity of pancreatic leakage (31). At the moment, there is evidence that an isolated Roux loop pancreaticojejunal anastomosis can not minimize the incidence of pancreatic fistula (34). Binding pancreaticojejunal anastomosis could significantly decrease postoperative complications (35) compared with the end-to-end pancreaticojejunal anastomosis (32). The main concern of this techniques is the difficulty in controlling the tightness of tying the binding ligature (33). The end-to-end anastomosis with a 4 cm pancreatic stump invagination in to the jejunum and a jejunal mucosa controlled cauterization to prevent the secretions from the jejunal mucosa was performed by Chen (34) with few complications. Duct to mucosa pancreaticojejunal anastomosis was previously recommended for Patients with duct size > 3 mm, whereas recently this technique is performed regardless of the duct size using magnification (35). Although a prospective study reported by Bassi (36) evidenced no significant difference in the pancreatic fistula rate between end to side and duct to mucosa pancreaticojejunal anastomostomy, many Authors found that the duct to mucosa anastomosis (37, 38) is safer, particularly with wide pancreatic ducts, usually associated with firm or hard pancreatic tissue (37, 38). However, based on the current evidence, it is unclear which pancreaticojejunal anastomosis technique is superior to significantly decrease pancreatic fistula rates and related complications. Three conditions are important for successful pancreaticojejunal anastomosis:

- tension-free anastomosis;
- adequate blood supply of the pancreatic stump;
- fluid passage of pancreatic juice into the jejunum.

The development of a pancreaticojejunal fistula is more common in Patients with duodenal or ampullary carcinoma compared to Patients with the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer, because Patients with pancreatic cancer are more likely to have a late diagnosis cancer and to develop parenchimal fibrosis and duct’s dilatation. Tumor side, tumor size and histopathologic characteristics are related to the texture of the gland and to the duct size. The risk of pancreatic fistula appears to be multifactorial involving demographic, preoperative or intraoperative stenting, type of anastomosis, pathologic factors and drainage, but at the end only the texture of the pancreatic gland and the correspondent duct size are significantly associated with pancreaticojejunal anastomotic leakage. Patients with an high grade of fibrosis, firmer texture gland and wide duct have a lower incidence of fistula formation. Patients with soft gland and small duct, particularly Patients with duodenal or ampullary tumors, have a higher incidence of pancreatic fistula. Whereas pancreatic consistency is a more subjective assessment by the Surgeon, the duct size is directly related to the gland fibrosis and texture. Imaging preoperative assessment of the duct size and of the related gland texture can suggest to introduce a significant variable in the surgical te-
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technique or to transfer the Patients from low volume to high volume Center for complex procedures. According to Surgeons experience the pancreatic fistula rate is related to pancreatic texture and duct size. The pancreatic fistula rate is lower in both Groups of Patients with firm or hard pancreas and 3-5 mm or > 6 mm duct size (39-41).

In conclusion, we make the following considerations: radiological preoperative assessment of the duct size and selection of proper pancreatic anastomotic techniques, according to pancreatic duct size and related pancreatic texture and fibrosis grading, may reduce the pancreatic fistula rate, particularly with the employment of the duct to mucosa pancreaticojejunostomy. However, the key to excellent outcomes is certainly to refer the “high risk” Patients to high volume Center.
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