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**Summary:** Benign pneumatosis intestinalis with massive portomesenteric venous gas in a very old man. A case report.

Introduction. Pneumatosis intestinalis (PI) is described as the presence of air within bowel wall. PI aetiology is various: it can be associated with non-urgent or life-threatening conditions. Clinical management is based on physical examination, blood tests and radiology, in particular abdominal CT. The cause of PI suggests the correct therapy. When PI is linked to gas in portal and mesenteric venae (PMVG), bowel ischemia or infarction is possible, and surgery needed.

Case report. A 91 years-old man was admitted to Emergency Department reporting abdominal pain and vomit. Acute abdominal symptoms, radiological finding of small bowel PI with massive PMVG, severe neutrophilia, and high serum lactate forced us to perform exploratory laparotomy, from which it was observed a diffuse band-like pneumatosis of all the small bowel and mesentery without ischemic or peritonitis signs. The patient was imposed to fast and treated with oxygen, intravenous fluid and antibiotic therapy, without performing further surgery, and was discharged to a rehabilitation facility after symptomatology resolution.

Discussion. Scientific literature underlines the importance of PMVG to consider as critic a patient with PI, but it is always essential to assess also physical examination, vital parameters, and blood exams. In our case, several signs were suggestive for bowel infarction: its absence and the swift recovery of the patient were unexpected.

Conclusion. Although non-surgical treatment is recommended for primary PI of unknown aetiology, in case physical examination and radiological signs aren’t decisive surgery is necessary to rule out bowel infarction. This case stresses the difficulty of PI management.
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**Introduction**

Pneumatosis Intestinalis (PI) is a very rare condition characterized by the presence of air within the bowel wall. The first case was reported in 1754 (1). Today, PI incidence is 0.3% based on computed tomography (2) and 0.03% as observed during autopsy sections (3). This condition affects especially the large bowel (46% of the cases) and secondarily the small bowel (27%); the onset of both conditions simultaneously is less frequent (7%) (1). The cause of PI development is often unclear, but there are two main theories that try to explain it. The mechanical theory supposes that bowel wall is dissected by gas for an increase in air pressure due to an intestinal obstruction or to air blebs’ break in case of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). According to the bacterial theory, instead, some species of bacilli (Clostridium spp.) make such a lot of gas that air can pass through the mucosal barrier (4). PI is divided into idiomathic form (15%), in patients without important medical history or chronic disease, and secondary PI (85%), related to diverse gastrointestinal diseases or other pathological conditions (3). Clinically, PI can be associated with a benign condition (even completely asymptomatic) or a life-threatening status (mesenteric ischemia, bowel perforation, etc.), but it’s very difficult, even with prediction models of mortality (as serum lactate con-
centration, contrast-enhanced Computed Tomography – CT, etc.), to recognize patients who need immediate surgical procedure (2). In this case report, we show a patient with a severe clinical condition by initial body examination, blood exams and CT-scan, but who, finally, had a complete recovery. The evolution of this case is a further demonstration of how, in the PI, maximum care is needed to identify, case by case, the correct management.

This case report has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria (5).

Case report

A 91-years-old man was admitted to our Emergency Department at 3 o’clock p.m. reporting abdominal pain, vomit with liquid stools from the morning of same day. He denied a history of previous surgery, while his comorbidities were: hypertension, chronic kidney failure, COPD, diabetes mellitus treated with insulin and prostatic hypertrophy. Furthermore, he reported to have an abdominal aortic aneurism and to be a cardiac patient with pacemaker (PMK).

His vital signs were normal: afebrile, heart rate of 68 bpm, blood pressure of 105/50 mmHg and arterial oxygen saturation SaO2 of 96%. His physical examination showed an extensive abdominal distension and tenderness, with augmented tympanic sound and absent bowel sounds. Blood examinations showed no leukocyte increase but a severe neutrophilia (95% of white blood cells - WBC), and serum lactate of 2.3 mmol/L (normal values <2 mmol/L). The patient underwent Abdominal X-ray that showed no free intraperitoneal air but an important distension of the stomach and small bowel, indicating ileus. Since abdominal pain was getting worse until it became severe, the patient underwent Abdominal CT-Scan that showed the presence of air along both intra- and extra-hepatic ducts (Figure 1) and portal-mesenteric venous system (PMVG) (Figure 2), plus radiolucent clusters of small bubbles through the wall of the small bowel (Figure 2). In accordance to the radiologist this condition was a typical picture of intestinal infarction. The patient subsequently underwent exploratory laparotomy, from which it was observed a diffuse band-like pneumatosis of all the small bowel and mesentery (Figure 3), with complete absence of ischemic or peritonitis signs, and without any lesion of the large bowel. No other surgical procedures have been performed. During the post-operative period, the patient was imposed to fast and treated with oxygen, intravenous fluid and antibiotic (ceftriaxone and metronidazole) therapy. He later went through a brief phase of acute kidney failure (serum creatinine value was 4.4 mg/dL during the first post-operative day) and at the third post-operative day he started to drink some water. Stool test was negative for Clostridium difficile and other pathogenic microorganism or toxins. He was discharged to a rehabilitation facility at the seventh post-operative day after the complete resolution of the abdominal symptoms.

Discussion

PI management is very difficult, since it is an ill-defined pathology without usual aetiology, symptomatology and prognosis. PI is often considered as a condition associated with other diseases or “a radiological finding” (6).

However, it is essential to distinguish a worrisome form from a benign one, in order to decide who has to undergo laparotomy, a procedure that is required in about 66% of PI cases. According to Mehdi Tahiri et al. (7), the parameters to evaluate the patient’s need to underwent surgery are: age (≥60 years old), acute abdomen or hypotension, acute kidney injury, lactate (>2 mmol/L), bicarbonate (20 mmol/L), high WBC count (≥12000/ml), CT-findings (bowel dilatation, ascites, portal venous gas). In the case that most of these markers are absent, the authors recommend against surgery, while antibiotic therapy, elemental diet and oxygen therapy are recommended during the observation period.

Consequently, which were the causes of PI? In a retrospective study, published in 2016 by Marc-Olivier Treyaud et al. (8) and reporting the CT-findings, and their clinical correlations, of 149 PI patients, the first cause was intestinal ischemia (53.7%), followed by infections (12.1%), obstructive (8.1%) and non obstructive (6.7%) bowel dilatation, unknown aetiology (5.4%), drugs (5.4%), inflammation (4.7%) and other causes (4%). In particular, mortality was correlated with intestinal ischemia (p= 0.003), so it is prominent to pay attention to this cause of PI through by investigating significantly correlated feature (clinical, radiological and etc.). The features proposed in this study (8) were: PMVG, decreased mural contrast-enhance-
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PMVG with PI and “decreased mural contrast-enhancement” were necessarily, significantly correlated with underlying ischemia (p=0.009 and p<0.001, respectively). The degree of calcified atherosclerosis stressed a statistical tendency to be associated with ischemic cause of PI, too (p=0.08). Among blood tests, the only one significantly associated with bowel ischemia was WBC >12000 cells/mm³ (p=0.03), the same parameter evaluated by Mehdi Tahiri for defining life-threatening PI (WBC >12000/mm³). Other parameters as Serum lactate >2.4 mmol/L, Arterial pH <7.34 and BUN (blood urea nitrogen) >7.7 mmol/L did not reach statistically significance (8).

Both these articles (7, 8) underlined the importance of PMVG to consider as critic a patient with PI, but it’s always essential to assess also physical examination, vital parameters and blood exams. A paper by Soo-Kyung Yoo et al. proposed APACHE II score to decide whether surgical intervention is necessary or not (9).
In the case here presented, the decision to undergo laparotomy came from physical examination, characterized by extensive abdominal distension and tenderness without peristalsis, and, above all, CT-findings. The massive PMVG and PI of small bowel were highly suggestive for bowel infarction: its absence and the swift recovery of the patient were unexpected.

**Conclusion**

PI can be associated with both a benign and a life-threatening condition, but in the case of a CT-scan finding of PMVG, the exclusion of a bowel ischemia or infarction is needed. When physical examination, blood tests and other radiological signs are not decisive, surgery is necessary.
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