Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) are associated with an increased risk of arterial and venous thromboembolism (1). Bargen and Barker at the Mayo Clinic already in 1936 recognized and demonstrated the increased risk of thromboembolic events in patients affected by IBD (2, 3).

Aim and methods

A systematic literature search was conducted using PubMed, Medline, Scopus, Cochrane database. The key words were: “Inflammatory Bowel Disease”, “Crohn’s Disease and Thrombosis”, “Ulcerative Colitis and Thrombosis”, “Thrombosis” and “Inflammatory Bowel Diseases and Thrombosis”. Full articles and abstracts were included. Studies such as case reports, letters and commentaries were excluded from the analysis if appropriate data could not be extracted. Although no randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have been established to evaluate the efficacy of thromboprophylaxis in patients with IBD due to the incidence of VTE and PE in such patients, it is highly recommended the adoption of thromboprophylactic measures. Available prophylaxis and treatment options include pharmacological anticoagulant therapy (LMWH-Low Molecular Weight Heparin, Fondaparinux and UF-Unfractionated Heparin) and mechanical prophylaxis. In case of acute VTE patient must be treated with fibrinolytic agents and in selected non-responsive cases vascular surgery. IBD patients have an increased risk of VTE complications. Prophylaxis for VTE should be recommended in all patients who do not show contraindications to treatment.

Discussion

Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE) are important and undervalued thromboembolic complications to keep in mind that can significantly affect patient morbidity and mortality (4–6). In fact, according to latest population-cohort studies, a 2 to 3 time fold increased risk of developing thromboembolic complications was...
Thrombotic complications in inflammatory bowel diseases

reported for IBD patients compared to general population (6-16). Grainge et al. and Papa et al. demonstrated in their studies that the incidence of thromboembolic events varies depending on the activity phase of the disease, suggesting that there is a higher risk of thromboembolic complications especially during IBD flares (relative risk values are similar for CD and UC) (8, 10, 13-17). These complications appear to be more common in patients younger than 40 years (7-9, 15, 18, 19) in those showing an increase in inflammatory markers and in those with other complications such as stenosis, abscess or fistulization (7, 20). The incidence of these events also seems to be correlated to the extent of the disease itself as it is shown to be higher in patients with pancolitis in UC (19, 21) and with colonic involvement in CD (20). Even though, proctocolectomy is not proved to be protective in order to prevent recurrent thromboembolic events (20). It should not be forgotten that thromboembolism is a multifactorial event that can involve both hereditary and acquired factors that can combine and reasonably increase the prothrombotic risk for the patient (15, 22, 24, 25).

Virchow triad, which includes hypercoagulability, stasis and endothelial dysfunction, explains the fundamental mechanisms of thrombosis (26-29). Two are the main pathomechanisms that have been identified to probably cause thrombosis in IBD: alterations in coagulation and fibrinolytic systems and platelet dysfunction (10, 11, 23, 24, 26, 30, 31).

If DVT is suspected with a likely DVT Wells Score of 2 (Criteria reported in Table 1) it should be considered to perform either a proximal leg venous ultrasound within 4 hours and if this is negative a D-dimer test, or directly a D-dimer test in addition to a 24 h dosage of a parenteral anticoagulant and a proximal leg venous ultrasound within 24 hours. Whenever D-dimer results positive but the proximal leg venous ultrasound results negative the US should be repeated 6 to 8 days later (32, 33). If PE is suspected with a likely PE Wells Score of 2 (Criteria reported in Table 2) an immediate computer tomography pulmonary angiogram (CTPA) must be performed. If this is not immediately possible, parenteral anticoagulant therapy should be started promptly and it should be followed by a CTPA as soon as possible (32, 33). Although no randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have been established to evaluate the efficacy of thromboprophylaxis in patients with IBD (9, 34) due to the incidence of VTE and PE in such patients, it is highly recommended the adoption of thromboprophylactic measures. These measures are often not respected because of the lack of awareness of the importance of thrombotic risk in this type of patients and the lack of clear data on the use of oral anticoagulants in IBD (5, 10, 26, 35-37).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical feature</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active cancer (treatment ongoing, within 6 months, or palliative)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paralysis, paresis or recent plaster immobilisation of the lower extremities</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recently bedridden for 3 days or more or major surgery within 12 weeks</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localised tenderness along the distribution of the deep venous system</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire leg swollen</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf swelling at least 3 cm larger than asymptomatic side</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitting oedema confined to the symptomatic leg</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collateral superficial veins (non-varicose)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously documented DVT</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An alternative diagnosis is at least as likely as DVT</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical probability simplified score</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT likely</td>
<td>2 points or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT unlikely</td>
<td>1 points or less</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1 - DVT WELLS SCORE.
Two are the main kind of prophylactic measures that should be considered: pharmacological prophylaxis and non-pharmacological prophylaxis (26, 28, 29).

Non-pharmacological prophylaxis consists in the hydration of the patient and eventually in the vitamin supplementation to correct the deficiencies that can determine hyperhomocysteinemia (typically B6, B12 and Folates). If the patient has undergone surgery, he should be mobilized as early as possible and mechanical prophylaxis should be implemented. This can consist of either intermittent pneumatic compression devices (IPC) (16) or graduated compression stockings (GCS). While intermittent pneumatic compression devices reduce stasis by augmenting the pulsatile venous flow and determine secretion of tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) from the endothelial cells increasing fibrinolytic activity, graduated compression stockings (GCS) act by reducing venous stasis and inhibiting Xa coagulation pathway. GCS’s drawbacks are represented by the possible onset of skin ulcers (32). Mechanical prophylaxis represents also an important measure that can be adopted in those patients who cannot receive pharmacological prophylaxis because of an active bleeding or of a high bleeding risk (16). Such patients should be switched to pharmacological prophylaxis once the risk is eliminated (9, 24, 36). Pharmacological prophylaxis involves, as recommended by the latest American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice, the British Society of Gastroenterology and the European Crohn and Colitis Organization guidelines, the use of low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) and unfractionated heparin (UH) (6, 9, 14, 16, 24, 38-42) Unfractionated Heparin (UH) and Low Molecular Weight Heparin (LWMH) can be administered both intravenously and subcutaneously but unfortunately oral administration is not possible because of poor absorption. 5000 units of UH are usually administered subcutaneously 2 hours to then be repeated every 8 to 12 hours (36, 43). Thromboprophylaxis in patients with IBD is recommended for hospitalized patients with exacerbations of the disease in the absence of active bleeding while it is recommended for those patients who do not show signs of severe bleeding upon admission to the hospital. According to some studies, the presence of mild-moderate bleeding should not be considered a reason to avoid the administration of thromboprophylaxis (9, 16, 35). It is also suggested in outpatients of IBD with moderate to severe disease flares that have a clinical history of VTE (16, 35). According to Kohoutova et al., thromboprophylaxis should be also suggested for all patients with active CD and for UC patients with endoscopically con-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical feature</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical signs and symptoms of DVT (minimum of leg swelling and pain with palpation of the deep veins)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An alternative diagnosis is less likely than PE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate &gt;100 beats per minute</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immobilisation for more than 3 days or surgery in the previous 4 weeks</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous DVT/PE</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoptysis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy (on treatment, treated in the last 6 months, or palliative)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical probability simplified score</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE likely</td>
<td>More than 4 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE unlikely</td>
<td>4 points or less</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 - PE WELL Score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical feature</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical signs and symptoms of DVT (minimum of leg swelling and pain with palpation of the deep veins)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An alternative diagnosis is less likely than PE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate &gt;100 beats per minute</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immobilisation for more than 3 days or surgery in the previous 4 weeks</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous DVT/PE</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoptysis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy (on treatment, treated in the last 6 months, or palliative)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical probability simplified score</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE likely</td>
<td>More than 4 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE unlikely</td>
<td>4 points or less</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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confirmed findings of extensive disease (35). Said this, the latest Consensus Statements of the Canadian Association of Gastroenterology, being based on a cost-effect for every quality-adjusted life year (QUALY) analysis, strongly discourages anticoagulant thromboprophylaxis in outpatients with active disease if there is not a history of previous VTE (16). The use of oral prophylaxis with vitamin K antagonists (VKA) for VTE has not gained much success because contrarily to UH and LMWH which are easy to use and do not need monitoring, oral anticoagulants such as Warfarin are easier to administer but need monitoring and to balance a correct dosing of the drug (44). As regards Aspirin (ASA) prophylaxis, the ASPIRE trial shows no significant decrease in events of VTE compared to placebo, thus not recommending ASA as a prophylactic treatment to prevent VTE (36, 43, 45, 46). In the case of venous thromboembolism in patients with IBD, treatment is the same as for patients without IBD (24, 36). At first, it is recommended to administer the latest NICE guidelines for venous thromboembolic diseases, low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) or Fondaparinux as suggested. UH should be considered in patients with severe renal impairment (eGFR <30 ml / min / 1.73m²), with increased risk of bleeding and in patients with PE and haemodynamic instability. In the latter case, if the thrombotic obstruction is massive and is a life threatening condition, the use of fibrinolytic agents is also recommended (32). Treatment should be started as soon as possible and prolonged for at least 5 days or until INR maintains beyond 2 for at least 24 hours.

Even though it is not usually required in ileo-femoral DVT, in selected cases which present good functional status, a low bleeding risk and have had symptoms for no longer than 14 days, the use of fibrinolytic agents can be considered as a possible therapeutic option (32, 36). When previous treatments are not achievable due to a high risk of bleeding in patients with PE or TVP affected by the presence of fluctuating thrombus despite the creation of an adequate anticoagulant therapy, the placement of a filter for the inferior vena cava should be considered as option (9, 32, 36). Another therapeutic option in the case of contraindication or failure of fibrinolytic therapy is thromboendarterectomy, which owing to high complication rates must be performed as a last therapeutic resource by vascular surgeons (36).

Conclusions

Patients affected by IBD have a 2 to 3 time fold increased risk of developing thromboembolic complications compared to general population. Younger patients and those with other disease-related complications such as stenosis, abscess or fistulization disease are at higher risk for thromboembolic complications. Thromboembolism is a multifactorial process that must be considered in patients with IBD as it can significantly affect morbidity and mortality (47-55). Since no RCT studies have yet been conducted to clarify what the appropriate prophylactic protocol should be in patients with IBD, clinicians should follow the general guidelines for VTE prevention. Since oral pharmacological prophylaxis with VKA requires monitoring and is therefore impractical, current prophylactic options focus on anticoagulant therapy with LMWH and UH associated with mechanical prophylaxis. In case of acute non-responsive VTE, therapeutic options include anticoagulant therapy, fibrinolytic agents and in selected cases vascular surgery.
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